IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

QUILL INK BOOKS LIMITED,)
Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,)
v.	Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-476-LO-MSN
RACHELLE SOTO a/k/a ADDISON CAIN,)
Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff.)

PLAINTIFF QUILL INK BOOKS LIMITED'S RESPONSES TO DEFENDANT'S FIRST SET OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS

Plaintiff Quill Ink Books Limited ("Quill" or "Plaintiff"), by counsel, responds to Defendant Rachelle Soto a/k/a Addison Cain's ("Cain" or "Defendant") first set of discovery requests (collectively, the "First Discovery Requests") as follows:

INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1: Identify all person(s) who provided the information or documents relied upon in Your responses to the First Discovery Requests, including the identity of all persons from whom information or documents were sought or obtained.

ANSWER: Notwithstanding the previously-filed objections, and subject thereto, Plaintiff answers as follows:

Rachelle Soto (a/k/a Addison Cain) C/O Craig C. Reilly 111 Oronoco Street Alexandria, VA 22314 (703) 549.5354 Shawn Dellegar Crowe & Dunlevy 500 Kennedy Building 321 South Boston Avenue Tulsa, OK 74103 (918) 592.8807

Tynia Watson Crowe & Dunlevy 324 North Robinson Avenue Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 (405) 239.6615

Zoey Ellis C/O John M. Bredehoft 150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 (757) 624.3225

John M. Bredehoft Kaufman & Canoles, P.C. 150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 (757) 624.3225

Gideon Lincecum Holladay & Chilton, PLLC 204 North Robinson, Suite 1550 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 (405) 236.2343

Kristina Busse, Ph.D. – Expert C/O John M. Bredehoft 150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 (757) 624.3225

Payne Harrison – Expert C/O John M. Bredehoft 150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 (757) 624.3225

Steven Thrasher, MBA, JD – Expert 1350 North Greenville Richardson, TX 75081

Sarah McCurry Winslow & McCurry, PLLC 1324 Sycamore Square 202C Midlothian, VA 23113 (804) 423.1382

Rebecca L Briggs The Law Office of Becky Briggs, LLC 315 Colorado Ave Pueblo, CO 81003

Anne Wills (a/k/a Bethany Burke) (Blushing Books)
977 Seminole Trail, #233
Charlottesville, VA 22901

Allison Travis (a/k/a Allison West) (Blushing Books)
977 Seminole Trail, #233
Charlottesville, VA 22901

Tabitha Black (Blushing Books) 977 Seminole Trail, #233 Charlottesville, VA 22901

Corporate Representative of Draft2Digital, LLC 9400 N. Broadway, Suite 410 Oklahoma City, OK 73114 (866) 336.5099

Tara Robinett (Draft2Digital, LLC) 9400 N. Broadway, Suite 410 Oklahoma City, OK 73114 (866) 358.6413

Corporate Representative of Amazon Amazon.com, Inc. Customer Service P.O. Box 81226 Seattle, WA 98108-1226 (888) 280.3321

Corporate Representative of Apple/iTunes One Apple Park Way Cupertino, CA 95014 Corporate Representative of iBooks One Apple Park Way Cupertino, CA 95014

Micah Bonte-Gelok (Rakuten Kobo, Inc.) micah.bontegelok@rakuten.com

Stephanie McGraffty (Kobo) <u>support@kobowritinglife-zendesk.com</u> writinglife@kobo.com

Barry (iTunes and iBooks Store Notices) ibooks.store.notices@apple.com

Kevin B. (Amazon) copyright@amazon.com

Anne Tarpey (Amazon) copyright@amazon.com

Rob Solomon (GoFundMe, Inc.) 855 Jefferson Avenue P.O. Box 1329 Redwood City, CA 94063

Daniel Gordon (GoFundMe, Inc.) 855 Jefferson Avenue P.O. Box 1329 Redwood City, CA 94063

<u>INTERROGATORY NO. 2</u>: Identify each person who has knowledge of any of the allegations contained in the Amended Complaint, the answer thereto, and the Counterclaim, including the claims asserted and any damages sought, and for each such person, summarize the information You believe such person possesses.

ANSWER: Notwithstanding the previously-filed objections, and subject thereto, Plaintiff answers as follows:

WITNESS NAME	KNOWLEDGE
Rachelle Soto (a/k/a Addison Cain) C/O Craig C. Reilly 111 Oronoco Street Alexandria, VA 22314 (703) 549.5354	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Corporate Representative for Quill Ink Books C/O John M. Bredehoft 150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 (757) 624.3225	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Zoey Ellis C/O John M. Bredehoft 150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 (757) 624.3225	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Corporate Representative for ABCD Graphics and Design, Inc. (d/b/a Blushing Books) 977 Seminole Trail, #233 Charlottesville, VA 22901	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Anne Wills (a/k/a Bethany Burke) (Blushing Books) 977 Seminole Trail, #233 Charlottesville, VA 22901	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Allison Travis (a/k/a Allison West) (Blushing Books) 977 Seminole Trail, #233 Charlottesville, VA 22901	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.

Tabitha Black (Blushing Books) 977 Seminole Trail, #233 Charlottesville, VA 22901	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices. Black is the Editor-in-Chief of Blushing Books and is a writer in the Omegaverse genre.
Kristina Busse, Ph.D. – Expert C/O John M. Bredehoft 150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 (757) 624.3225	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Payne Harrison – Expert C/O John M. Bredehoft 150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 (757) 624.3225	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Steven Thrasher, MBA, JD – Expert 1350 North Greenville Richardson, TX 75081	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Corporate Representative of Draft2Digital, LLC 9400 N. Broadway, Suite 410 Oklahoma City, OK 73114 (866) 336.5099	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Tara Robinett (Draft2Digital, LLC) 9400 N. Broadway, Suite 410 Oklahoma City, OK 73114 (866) 358.6413	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.

Corporate Representative of Amazon Amazon.com, Inc. Customer Service P.O. Box 81226 Seattle, WA 98108-1226 (888) 280.3321	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Corporate Representative of Apple/iTunes One Apple Park Way Cupertino, CA 95014	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Corporate Representative of iBooks One Apple Park Way Cupertino, CA 95014	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Micah Bonte-Gelok (Rakuten Kobo, Inc.) micah.bontegelok@rakuten.com	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices. Also has knowledge about issues experienced by Quill because DMCAs have not been withdrawn.
Stephanie McGraffty (Kobo) support@kobowritinglife-zendesk.com writinglife@kobo.com	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Barry (iTunes and iBooks Store Notices) ibooks.store.notices@apple.com	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.

Kevin B. (Amazon) copyright@amazon.com	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Anne Tarpey (Amazon) copyright@amazon.com	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Rob Solomon (GoFundMe, Inc.) 855 Jefferson Avenue P.O. Box 1329 Redwood City, CA 94063	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Daniel Gordon (GoFundMe, Inc.) 855 Jefferson Avenue P.O. Box 1329 Redwood City, CA 94063	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Sarah McCurry Winslow & McCurry, PLLC 1324 Sycamore Square 202C Midlothian, VA 23113 (804) 423.1382	Knowledge about the facts related to the DMCA take-down notices and harassment of Quill's counsel.
Shawn Dellegar Crowe & Dunlevy 500 Kennedy Building 321 South Boston Avenue Tulsa, OK 74103 (918) 592.8807	The misrepresentations made by and on behalf of Rachelle Soto a/k/a Addison Cain to the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma in order to obtain a dismissal relating to Cain's involvement with the filing of false DMCAs and pressure asserted against vendors to remove Quill's publications.

Tynia Watson Crowe & Dunlevy 324 North Robinson Avenue Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 (405) 239.6615	Rachelle Soto a/k/a Addison Cain defamatory statements against Quill and Watson's participation in such activities, including harassment and intimidation of Quill Ink Books representatives, at a recent conference in Houston, Texas, and defamatory statements made to the conference organizers. Knowledge of commentaries made on Twitter and to authors regarding "counsel's conduct" and representations online about "misconduct alleged by Cain."
Gideon Lincecum Holladay & Chilton 204 North Robinson, Suite 1550 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 (405) 236.2343	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Jennifer Bene 8700 Stonebrook Parkway, #777 Frisco, TX 75034	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices; facts related to GoFundMe page. Knowledge of a blog post she authored in which she claims knowledge about Cain and the alleged harassment and plagiarism.
Golden Angel (a/k/a Jennifer Rast) P.O. Box 2075 Montgomery Village, MD 20886	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices. Knowledge of a blog post extensively quoted by Cain, in which Ms. Rast shares information given to her by Cain, including screenshots of conversations and documents.

Myra Danvers (a/k/a Amanda Ludlow) (a/k/a MD Pentacles) 29 Hoodless Court Brantford, ON N3T0A8 Canada (519) 771.5642	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices. Knowledge regarding posts online about Plaintiff's behavior and supported Cain's accusations of plagiarism. Has commented multiple times on Cain's posts and has shared extensively on Twitter and Facebook her views on the plagiarism.
Suzan Tisdale 114 South Roosevelt Street North Pekin, IL 61554	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Alta Hensley (a/k/a Staci Taylor) 2919 Aspen Meadows Court Reno, NV 89519	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto. Knowledge about shared post on Facebook in which she alleged knowledge of the situation.
Zoe Blake (a/k/a Jennifer Lynn Monti) 2301 Valleywood Drive, G8 Grand Rapids, MI 49546 (312) 863.1532	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto. Knowledge about shared post on Facebook in which she alleged knowledge of the situation.
Maggie Ryan (a/k/a/ Mary Margaret Minton) 11218 Endicott Lane Houston, TX 77035 (281) 948.8823; (281) 948.7639	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Courtney McDaniel, PA 2040 Rural-Hall Germanton Road Rural Hall, NC 27045	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto. Knowledge of multiple posts made by her online against Zoey Ellis' books.

Clara Brotheron 60 Pleasant Street, Apt. 4 Waterville, ME 04901	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; knowledge regarding harassment. Knowledge of a blog post she authored in support of Cain and multiple Facebook posts alleging knowledge of the situation.
Mia Knight	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Sara Fields	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Ivanna B. Kinkee (Tentacle Press)	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Emily Alice (Assistant to Mia Knight)	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Gwen DeJongh	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Loki Renard 212 Howsons Road Cust 7475 Rangiora, New Zealand	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices; made multiple posts regarding such knowledge.

Milana Jacks 2222 N Indian Canyon, Drive Unit B Palm Springs, CA 92262 (760) 673.7236	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Livia Grant	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Elle Cross	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Nikki Rose	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Nikita Slater	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Nanette Puscizna	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Anna Zaires	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.

LK Shaw	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Pepper North	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Tiffany Roberts	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Laylah Roberts	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
BB Blaque	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Felicity Brandon	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.

Measha Stone	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Merel Pierce	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto; facts related to the DMCA takedown notices.
Bex (Beckie Bookworm) https://www.beckiebookworm.com UK citizen	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Bre Hood (a/k/a Bre Szipszky) (a/k/a/Breanne P. Hood) https://www.bresbookblog.com Anchorage, Alaska	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Galateea http://galateea.tumblr.com	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Louise H https://louisesbookbuzz.home.blog UK citizen	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Namera https://theliteraryinvertebrate.wordpress.com UK citizen	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.

Kimberly Cain Mead	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Aoibheam Mew	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Paula Malin	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Noelle Hart	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Jessica Winters Scnifferle	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Diane Dykas	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Saira Khan	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.

Marquitta Warren	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Mercedes Kirpatrick Hollow	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Tricia Coker	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Jan Josyln	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Mady Rivers	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Red Rayder	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.
Elle Magnussen	Knowledge about the claims, issues and defenses in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Defendant's Answer, and Defendant's Counterclaim and Plaintiff's responses thereto.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3: Describe in detail the formation, ownership, and operation of Quill, including the ownership structure, the identities of all persons having an ownership

interest, the percentage of each person's ownership, and the identity of all officers, employees, and shareholders of Quill (including specifying when they had those relationships).

ANSWER: The information responsive to this request is fully set forth in the organizational documents Quill filed with the Companies House (https://beta.companieshouse.gov.uk/company/10252366), which are being provided pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d).

INTERROGATORY NO. 4: Identify each work published and sold by Quill since its formation until present, including the title, the date each was published, where each has been published (e.g., print or online vendors), the number of copies sold, the sales revenues, the identities of the vendors, the pseudonym of the author (if any), and the actual name and address of the author.

ANSWER: The information responsive to this request is contained in business records maintained by Quill, which are being provided pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d).

INTERROGATORY NO. 5: Identify the name and address of the author known as "Zoey Ellis," as well as any other pseudonym used by that author, and describe her relationship with Quill (e.g., shareholder, officer, agent, etc.).

ANSWER: Quill states that Zoey Ellis is a pseudonym used by its principal author. The identity of Zoey Ellis, her address, other pseudonyms used, and her relationship with Quill will not be disclosed as such information is irrelevant to the Defendant's claims, as previously held by the Court in its second motion to dismiss ruling.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6: Identify all communications You have had regarding the allegations made in this Lawsuit by either side, and in doing so, identify the parties who participated in each communication, the date of the communication (regardless of whether before

or after the Lawsuit was filed), the method of the communication (e.g., written correspondence, telephone, e-mail, in person, etc.), and a description of the substance of the communication).

ANSWER: Quill is not aware of any communications "regarding the allegations made in this Lawsuit" that it has had with anyone outside of attorney-client communications.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7: Identify the person(s) who provided the opinions and information alleged in paragraphs 16, 19-32, 55, and 67 of Your Amended Complaint.

ANSWER: Subject to the previously-filed objections, Quill answers as follows:

Kristina Busse, Ph.D. c/o John M. Bredehoft 150 W. Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 (757) 624.3225

INTERROGATORY NO. 8: Identify each communication You have had with an actual or potential vendor, including but not limited to those identified in paragraph 38 of the Amended Complaint, regarding the Myth of Omega series from pre-publication to present, including the identities of the persons participating in the communication, the date of the communication, the method of the communication (e.g., written correspondence, telephone, e-mail, in person, etc.), and a description of the substance of the communication.

ANSWER: Subject to the previously-filed objections, the information responsive to this request is contained in confidential business records maintained by Quill, which are being provided pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). By way of further answer, Quill recalls communications with its cover artist and voice talent regarding their inability to continue doing work for Quill because of comments made and/or association with Defendant.

INTERROGATORY NO. 9: Identify each communication You have had with any vendor regarding a Take-Down Notice or a Counter-Notice regarding the Myth of Omega series,

including the identities of the persons participating in the communication, the date of the communication, the method of the communication (e.g., written correspondence, telephone, email, in person, etc.), and a description of the substance of the communication.

ANSWER: Subject to the previously-filed objections, the information responsive to this request is contained in confidential business records maintained by Quill, which are being provided pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). Plaintiff cannot specifically recall any non-privileged, verbal communications that may be responsive to this request, but will promptly supplement its response in the event such communications are identified.

INTERROGATORY NO. 10: Identify each communication You have had with Zoey Ellis regarding the Myth of Omega series, the Alpha's Claim series, the Take-down Notices, and Counter-Notices, including the identities of the persons participating in the communication, the date of the communication, the method of the communication (e.g., written correspondence, telephone, e-mail, in person, etc.), and a description of the substance of the communication.

ANSWER: Quill will not produce communications or documents which are protected by the attorney-client privilege or the work product doctrine. There are no such responsive communications between Quill and Zoey Ellis.

INTERROGATORY NO. 11: Identify each communication You have had with "readers" as alleged in paragraph 56 of the Amended Complaint, including the identity of each "reader," the identities of the persons participating the communication, the date of the communication, the method of the communication (e.g., written correspondence, telephone, e-mail, in person, etc.), and a description of the substance of the communication.

ANSWER: The information responsive to this request is contained in confidential business records maintained by Quill, which are being provided pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d).

INTERROGATORY NO. 12: Identify each item of lost sales revenue that You contend resulted from the Take-Down Notices as alleged in paragraph 76 of the Amended Complaint, including the number of sales, the identity of the vendor(s), the sales method (e.g., print or online), and the lost revenue from each vendor.

ANSWER: Subject to the previously-filed objections, the information responsive to this request is contained in confidential business records maintained by Quill, which are being provided pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d) and is more fully set forth in documents prepared by Plaintiff's damages expert.

INTERROGATORY NO. 13: Identify the "business partners" and/or "vendors" who "have informed Quill that the vendor refuses to do work with Quill to sell Quill's challenged books" as alleged in paragraph 75 of the Amended Complaint, and state when and how those refusals occurred, the books affected, and quantify the lost sales and revenues you contend occurred as a result of each such refusal.

ANSWER: The information responsive to this request is confidential contained in confidential business records maintained by Quill, which are being provided pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). By way of further answer, Quill recalls communications with its cover artist and voice talent regarding their inability to continue doing work for Quill because of comments made and/or association with Defendant.

INTERROGATORY NO. 14: Identify each communication with all "business partners" and "vendors," including those identified in Your response to Interrogatory No. 13,

including the identification of the persons participating in the communication, the date of the communication, and the method of the communication (e.g., written correspondence, telephone, e-mail, in person, etc.), and a description of the substance of the communication.

ANSWER: Subject to the previously-filed objections, the information responsive to this request is contained in business records maintained by Quill, which are being provided pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). However, by way of further answer, Quill recalls communications with its cover artist and voice talent regarding their inability to continue doing work for Quill because of comments made and/or association with Defendant.

INTERROGATORY NO. 15: Identify all forums, both private and public, in which You contend that Quill or Zoey Ellis, or both, has been subjected to "online harassment and defamation" as alleged in paragraph 77 of the Amended Complaint, and describe each alleged incident, including the date, the content of the harassing or defamatory statement, and the identification of the speaker.

ANSWER: Subject to the previously-filed objections, the information responsive to this request is contained in business records maintained by Quill, which are being provided pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). By way of further answer, Defendant's defamatory statements have been published, re-published, and/or referenced on the Internet, in online book reviews, blogs, and other reader forums operated by Defendant and her various followers.

INTERROGATORY NO. 16: Identify Your communications with any other person regarding the information posted on Zoey Ellis' website, blog, and Facebook page(s), and state whether You have posted or assisted with drafting some or all of the information posted on those sites, and if so, what You posted and when.

ANSWER: There are no such communications.

<u>INTERROGATORY NO. 17</u>: Describe the damages You have claimed in the Amended Complaint, including the following information:

- a) the total amount of damages;
- b) a detailed itemization of the amounts You seek to recover from Cain;
- c) the date each item of damages was incurred;
- d) other persons with knowledge of the damages (e.g., a vendor or business partner);
- e) the reasons You contend that Cain caused each item of damages;
- f) a detailed explanation of the method and calculations used to arrive at the amount of damages you seek to recover from Cain; and
- g) the identity of all documents relating to such item or calculation.

ANSWER: Subject to the previously-filed objections, Plaintiff computes its damages based solely upon information available to it at the present time as follows:

- a) the total amount of damages;
 - 1. Damages for lost earnings estimated at \$135,000.00 based upon expected preorders, sales, and future potential sales at approximately \$15,000.00 per book for each book in Plaintiff's Zoey Ellis brand of books, or such other amount as evidence shall demonstrate;
 - 2. Reputational harm damages to Plaintiff's brand(s) and lost earning capacity believed to be in excess of \$250,000.00, or such other amount as evidence shall demonstrate;
 - 3. Plaintiff's damages for Plaintiff's lost business or economic opportunities believed to be in excess of \$250,000.00, or such other amount as evidence shall demonstrate;

- 4. Plaintiff's damages due to interruption of and increased expense of publishing and creative costs, including, but not limited to, lost business partnerships, said amount believed to be in excess of \$100,000.00, or such other amount as evidence shall demonstrate; and
- 5. Attorney's fees and costs incurred by Plaintiff as a result of Defendant's unlawful actions, plus such other and further fees and costs incurred, and other remedies in amount(s) to be determined.
- b) a detailed itemization of the amounts You seek to recover from Cain;
 - 1. See response to part "a" above.
- c) the date each item of damages was incurred;
 - Said date would be from and following the publication of the false statements
 to various vendors and business partners. Specifically, the false DMCA
 notices reflect the date of transmittal or filing and will be produced.
- d) other persons with knowledge of the damages (e.g., a vendor or business partner);
 - Plaintiff has identified persons with knowledge of its damages in its initial disclosures and above.
- e) the reasons You contend that Cain caused each item of damages;
 - 1. The reasons are more fully set forth in Plaintiff's amended complaint and in communications Defendant sent to her publisher, in effect, stating her objective was to prevent anything written by Zoey Ellis from being published.
 - Due to Defendant's intentional and premeditated false representations made to
 federal court in Oklahoma about her relationship to the proceedings, the
 Defendant was dismissed from the lawsuit on the basis of a lack of personal

jurisdiction. Consequently, Plaintiff was forced to depose Cain as a third party witness in Virginia, and file suit against her in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. Accordingly, the expenses of the action brought in Virginia constitute an element of Plaintiff's damages, as they are a direct result of the Defendant's filing of the false DMCA take-down notices.

- f) a detailed explanation of the method and calculations used to arrive at the amount of damages you seek to recover from Cain; and
 - The answer to this sub-part is more fully set forth by Plaintiff's damages expert in documents produced and/or being produced.
- g) the identity of all documents relating to such item or calculation.
 - 1. The answer to this sub-part is more fully set forth by Plaintiff's damages expert in documents produced and/or being produced.

INTERROGATORY NO. 18: Identify each communication You have had with Blushing Books concerning the Myth of Omega series, the Alpha's Claim series, the Lawsuit, the Oklahoma Lawsuit, or the settlement of the Oklahoma Lawsuit including the identities of the persons participating in the communication, the date of the communication, and the method of the communication (e.g., written correspondence, telephone, e-mail, in person, etc.), and a description of the substance of the communication).

ANSWER: Subject to the previously-filed objections, the information responsive to this request is contained in business records maintained by Quill, which are being provided pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(d). However, the federal court entered an order regarding the confidentiality of the communications orally, on the record, and further actions will be required

to avoid violating that order, which may require additional proceedings to re-open the case in Oklahoma to obtain permission to produce responsive information. Furthermore, the only settlement communications of which Quill is aware are all covered by the aforementioned federal court's order.

INTERROGATORY NO. 19: State the bases of Your contention that you are not liable for the claims and injuries alleged in the Counterclaim.

ANSWER: Subject to the previously-filed objections, Quill has asserted the following affirmative defenses, while reserving the ability to assert any additional affirmative defenses as may be appropriate:

- 1. Cain's counterclaim fails to state a claim against Quill upon which relief can be granted.
 - 2. Cain's counterclaim is barred, in whole or in part, by the statute of limitations.
- 3. Cain's counterclaim may be barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of waiver, bad faith, estoppel, consent, and laches.
- 4. Cain's damages, if any, were occasioned by her own fraud with respect to her knowing and intentional conduct in directing the filing of false DMCA take-down notices against Quill's publications.
- 5. Cain's damages, if any, were occasioned by the illegality of her actions in conspiring with Blushing Books and others to file false DMCA take-down notices against Quill's publications.
- 6. Cain has not suffered any damage or harm associated with any of the conduct asserted herein.
 - 7. Cain's damages, if any, have been caused by the acts or omissions of third parties

over which Quill has no control.

- 8. Cain's claims are barred, in whole or in part, under the doctrine of unclean hands.
- 9. No act, breach, or omission of Quill either proximately caused or contributed to Cain's damages, if any, that were allegedly sustained by Cain.
- 10. Any communication by Quill regarding the filing of false DMCA take-down notices by Cain and Blushing Books was privileged and justified.
- 11. Cain's unsubstantiated damage claim is barred because of her own failure to mitigate any such losses occasioned by her own conduct.

By way of further answer, Plaintiff is not responsible for anything with respect to Defendant caused by Defendant's defamatory remarks against Plaintiff and/or the false DMCAs Defendant and her publisher filed against Plaintiff's publications. Furthermore, the allegations presented in Cain's counterclaims, which Quill has unequivocally denied, are false.

<u>INTERROGATORY NO. 20</u>: State the bases of Your contention that Your affirmative defenses reduce or eliminate Your liability to Cain under the Counterclaim.

ANSWER: Subject to the previously-filed objections, Quill has denied Cain's allegations as such are false, fabricated, and frivolously made merely to conceal and distract from Defendant's own wrongdoing.

INTERROGATORY NO. 21: Identify Your relationship with the Omegaverse Litigation Website (e.g., creator, poster, operator, etc.), whether You have posted some or all of information on that site, and if so, what You posted and when.

ANSWER: Subject to the previously-filed objections, Quill admits it created and maintains the Omegaverse Litigation Website containing information and resources regarding DMCA abuse and featuring pertinent information concerning the Oklahoma litigation in which

Judgment was entered on September 9, 2019, against Cain's publisher, ABCD Graphics and Design, Inc., D/B/A Blushing Books Publishing ("Blushing Books") based upon Blushing Books' admission that "Zoey Ellis's Myth of Omega series does not plagiarize Addison Cain's Alpha's Claim series, and the take-down notices transmitted pursuant to the Digital Millennium Copyright Act are invalid."

INTERROGATORY NO. 22: Identify all communications You have had with any other person regarding the creation or maintenance of the Omegaverse Litigation Website, the website located at https://fanlore.org/wiki/Omegaverse_Litigation, Zoey Ellis' website, Zoey Ellis' Amazon account, and Zoey Ellis' social media sites, including her Facebook page, blog, twitter page, and Instagram, including the Identity of each other person, a description of each person's involvement with those sites (e.g., creator, manager, editor, poster, etc.), and when You and each other person was involved.

ANSWER: Subject to the previously-filed objections, Quill states that with respect to the Omegaverse Litigation Website, Quill is not aware of any such communications outside of attorney-client privileged communications. By way of further answer, Quill states that the Omegaverse Litigation Website was released after the amended complaint in the Oklahoma Lawsuit was filed to correct Cain's false claims of plagiarism directed against Quill's publications and to help other authors that have been targeted with the filing of false DMCA notices. Nothing was disclosed on the Omegaverse Litigation Website that was not already in the public domain. For instance, Cain's "followers" have made false and defamatory statements against Quill online regarding the alleged "doxxing" of Addison Cain despite the fact Rachelle Soto repeatedly and specifically disclosed her identity in numerous public filings and conducted interviews, and appeared at book signings at various events before the Oklahoma litigation was

ever filed. In contrast, Zoey Ellis has never publicly disclosed her identity. Also, to correct false claims made online by Cain's "friends," the principal objective of the motion for protective order filed in Oklahoma with respect to both pseudonyms, *which was denied by the federal judge in Oklahoma*, was solely intended to protect Zoey Ellis' identity from being disclosed, not Addison Cain's who had already publicly disclosed her identity.

With respect to the "fanlore" website, Quill does not have any such documents. With respect to any of the other sites, Quill has not engaged in any communications with anyone regarding the creation or maintenance of those resources.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1: All documents referenced, reviewed, identified, described, or relied upon in Your answers to the foregoing Interrogatories.

RESPONSE: Subject to the previously-filed objections and to the extent such documents exist, the documents will be produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2: All documents and communications (whether in hard copy or electronic form) between You and any person (including without limitation, your agents, representatives, or employees, third parties, Blushing Books, and Cain) relating to the claims, counterclaims, defenses, or damages involved in this Lawsuit or the events giving rise thereto.

RESPONSE: Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced. Provided, however, Quill's disclosure of any communications with Blushing Books must be reconciled with any confidentiality order entered by the federal court in Oklahoma, which covers only settlement communications.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3: All documents and communications relating to or reflecting communications of any kind between Quill and Blushing Books, or Quill and Cain, or Quill and the author known as Zoey Ellis.

RESPONSE: Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced. Provided, however, Quill's disclosure of any communications with Blushing Books must be reconciled with any confidentiality order entered by the federal court in Oklahoma, which covers only settlement communications. By way of further answer, there are no such documents or communications between Quill and Zoey Ellis.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4: All documents and communications relating to or reflecting communications of any kind between the author known as Zoey Ellis and Quill regarding the Myth of Omega series or any other book authored by her (regardless of the pseudonym she may have used).

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, there are no such documents or communications between Quill and Zoey Ellis.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5: All documents and communications relating to or reflecting communications of any kind between the author known as Zoey Ellis and Cain or between the author known as Zoey Ellis and Blushing Books.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6: All documents and communications relating to or reflecting communications of any kind between Quill and Blushing Books regarding the Oklahoma Lawsuit, including regarding their settlement of that litigation.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced. Provided, however, Quill's disclosure of any communications with Blushing Books must be reconciled with any confidentiality order entered by the federal court in Oklahoma, which covers only settlement communications.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7: All documents and communications relating to or reflecting communications of any kind between the author known as Zoey Ellis and Blushing Books.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced. Quill also notes that this request is duplicative of Document Request No. 5.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8: All documents relating to or reflecting (a) any agreements between Quill and any other person regarding the publishing of works for such person, or (b) any communications concerning or relating to inquiries or requests that Quill publish a work for any other person.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorneyclient communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced. Provided, however, Quill is currently unaware of the existence of any such documents.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9: All documents relating to or reflecting communications of any kind between Quill and any other person relating to Cain, Blushing Books, the Myth of Omega series, the Alpha's Claim series, the Take-Down Notices, or the Counter-Notices.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10: All documents relating to or reflecting communications of any kind between Quill and any other person relating to the author known as Zoey Ellis.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent that responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced. As to all other non-privileged communications between Quill and any other person relating to Zoey Ellis relating to any claim or defense, including the Defendant's counterclaim, they are being produced in response to other document requests contained herein.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11: All documents relating to or reflecting communications of any kind between Quill and any other person relating to the Alpha's Claim series.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-

client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent that responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12: All documents relating to or reflecting communications of any kind between Quill and any other person relating to the Myth of Omega series.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced. Quill also notes that this request is duplicative of Document Request No. 9.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13: All documents relating to or reflecting the allegations made in paragraphs 16, 19-32, 55 and 6 of the Amended Complaint.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14: All documents related to the formation, ownership, and operation of Quill.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15: All documents obtained pursuant to any

subpoena duces tecum or other request issued by You or Your attorney(s) directed to any third party or person for the production of documents and things in this Lawsuit.

RESPONSE: Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent that responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced. Provided, however, no such documents currently exist.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16: Produce all documents obtained pursuant to any subpoena duces tecum or other request issued by You or Your attorney(s) directed to any third party or person for the production of documents and things in the Oklahoma Lawsuit.

RESPONSE: Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent that responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17: All documents that refer or relate to the settlement of the Oklahoma Lawsuit by Quill and Blushing, including all communications and any written settlement agreement.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced. Provided, however, Quill's disclosure of any communications with Blushing Books must be reconciled with any confidentiality order entered by the federal court in Oklahoma, which covers only settlement communications.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18: All documents related to the allegations made in the Counterclaim.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorneyclient communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced. Provided, however, Quill has no way of discerning what documents Defendant is seeking.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19: All documents related to the creation, update, postings, and maintenance of the Omegaverse Litigation Website.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent that responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20: All documents related to Zoey Ellis' social media and blog posts regarding Cain, Blushing Books, Quill, the Lawsuit, the Oklahoma Lawsuit, the Myth of Omega series, the Alpha's Claim series, or the Omegaverse, including all documents related to the creation, update, posting, and maintenance of those social media sites and blogs.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Quill is aware of only one relevant blog post on Zoey Ellis' social media, which will be produced. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent that responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21: All documents evidencing or relating to the damages you seek to recover in this Lawsuit.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorneyclient communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22: All documents related to the cost of publishing, the sales, the revenues, the profits, and the alleged lost sales related to the Myth of Omega series, including but not limited to, vendor records, Quill's bank records, Quill's financial statements, Quill's profit and loss statements, Quill's cash flow statements, and Quill's tax returns.

RESPONSE: Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent that responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23: All documents related to contracts with vendors and business partners regarding the sales or distribution of the Myth of Omega series.

RESPONSE: Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24: All communications between Quill and Margarita T.B. Coale or Nora Ash regarding the Myth of Omega series or the Alpha's Claim series.

RESPONSE: Margarita T.B. Coale acts as corporate counsel for Quill; as such, Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent that responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced. There are no such communications between Quill and Nora Ash.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25: All documents that refer or relate to the allegations in the Counterclaim or Your defenses to the Counterclaim.

RESPONSE: Quill will not disclose documents or communications that are attorney-client communications or that constitute attorney work product. Subject to the previously-filed objections, and to the extent such other responsive, non-privileged documents exist, they will be produced. Provided, however, Quill has no way of discerning what documents Defendant is seeking.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26: All documents related to the creation, update, postings and maintenance of the website located at:

https://fanlore.org/wiki/Omegaverse_Litigation.

RESPONSE: There are no such documents.

February 28, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

QUILL INK BOOKS LIMITED

By: /s/ John M. Bredehoft
John M. Bredehoft
Virginia State Bar No. 33602
KAUFMAN & CANOLES, P.C.
150 West Main Street, Suite 2100
Norfolk, Virginia 23510
757-624-3000
757-624-3225 (direct)
888-360-9092 (facsimile)
jmbredehoft@kaufcan.com
Counsel for Plaintiff
Ouill Ink Books Limited

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 28, 2020, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been emailed and mailed to the following counsel of record:

Craig C. Reilly, Esq.
111 Oronoco Street
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
craig.reilly@ccreillylaw.com
Counsel for Defendant

Shawn M. Dellegar, Esq.
Crowe & Dunlevy, P.C.
321 South Boston Avenue, Suite 500
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
shawn.dellegar@crowedunlevy.com
Counsel for Defendant (Pro Hac Vice)

Tynia A. Watson, Esq.
Crowe & Dunlevy, P.C.
324 N. Robinson Ave., Suite 100
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102
tynia.watson@crowedunlevy.com
Counsel for Defendant (Pro Hac Vice)

/s/ John M. Bredehoft

Case 1:19-cv-00476-LO-MSN Document 44-3 Filed 03/26/20 Page 38 of 38 PageID# 807

CORPORATE VERIFICATION

I hereby verify that I am an authorized agent and officer of Quill Ink Books Limited

("Quill"), and that I have verified on behalf of Quill the answers to Defendant's First Set of

Discovery Requests; that the facts and documents stated herein have been assembled on behalf of

Quill or are known personally to me; and that I am informed that the facts stated herein are true.

I verify that I have read the forgoing answers to interrogatories, and that said responses are

true and correct to the best of my knowledge, recollection, information and/or belief as of the date

I have signed this verification. I reserve the right to supplement or amend my responses as

permitted by the Rules of Court.

I verify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the

foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on the 28th day of February, 2020.

QUILL INK BOOKS LIMITED

By:

Name: Zuri Thompson

Title: Director

18209004v1